Languages and Systems

for Global Computing

MPRI, 4/1/2006

Concurrency theory

- concurrent programs are always difficult to understand
- concurrency theory (1978 \rightarrow 1992) is an elegant theory, mainly interested by non-distributed systems
- distributed systems are asynchronous (no output guards, no broadcasts)
- routing is important in distributed systems
- failure detection has to be handled

Concurrency, Locality and Mobility

- π -calculus is a calculus for reconfigurable (extendible) communicating systems, named "mobile processes".
- its variants make localization more explicit: distributed Join calculus, distributed π -calculus, π 1-calculus, etc
- the calculus of Mobile Ambients has all its synchronization based on localization.

Goals

- global computing can be used to access and synchronize large data, to access large computing resources, to customize groupware environments.
- global computing \Rightarrow scalability and decentralized systems.
- global computing is a very (too?) ambitious project
- basic theory: concurrent and localized objects, extendible languages and systems, security, etc
- engineering: compiling for several run-times, inter-pointer analysis, distributed garbage collection, etc
- reality and vaporware: Java, .Net, peer-to-peer, etc

Already existing

- agents in AI
- distributed systems
- theory of concurrency: CSP, CCS, π -calculus

From π -calculus to Join calculus (1/3)

Suppose we have:

- one sender on location s communicates on channel x,
- several receivers on locations *a* and *b* wait for data on channel *x*,

Then which routing strategy?

- sending one of them, but fairness?
- sending both ⇒ distributed consensus between sender *s* and receivers *a* and *b*.
- protocol for atomic broadcast?
- \Rightarrow receivers are uniquely located (per channel name)
- \equiv point-to-point one-way communications from senders to channel managers

1

3

From π -calculus to Join calculus (2/3)

Extra problems

- if *x*-channel manager dies, where to send a message for *x* ?
 ⇒ channel managers are always alive ≡ permanent receivers
- in CCS/ π -calculus, synchronization acheived by consumption of receivers, E.g. a lock is a channel without receiver during the critical section.
- permanent receivers ⇒ synchronization acheived by waiting for several messages on several channels.

 receivers are guards joining several messages as for Petri nets)

The Join-Calculus Language, release 1.05

See [Fournet, Gonthier, Maranget]

ML style (1/2)

let x = 1 ;; Type inference val x: int # let y = x+1 ;; val y: int # do print(x); print(y) Synchronous expr. 12 # let id(x) = reply x ;; Polymorphism val id: $\langle \alpha \rangle \rightarrow \langle \alpha \rangle$ # do print(id(1)); print_string (id("hello")) 1hello # let succ(x) = reply x+1;; val succ: $(int) \rightarrow (int)$ # let s = id (succ) ;; val s: $\langle \text{int} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{int} \rangle$ # spawn echo(1) Asynchronous expr. # let e = id (echo) val e: $\langle int \rangle$

From π -calculus to Join calculus (3/3)

5

6

Caveat

- remote procedure calls are nearly transparent [B. Nelson]
- RPCs \rightarrow big success for programming
- remote synchronization should also be quasi transparent [Magic Cap]
- ⇒ local and remote communication follow the same schemes.

ML style (2/2)

7

8

 $\begin{array}{ll} \texttt{\# let } f(x,y) \texttt{ = reply } x\texttt{+}y, \ x\texttt{-}y \ \texttt{; Tuples} \\ \texttt{val } f: \quad \langle \texttt{int} \times \texttt{int} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \texttt{int} \times \texttt{int} \rangle \end{array}$

- # let fib(n) = Recursive let if x <= 1 then { reply 1 } else { reply fib (n-1) + fib (n-2)} val fib: $\langle int \rangle \rightarrow \langle int \rangle$
- # let twice (f) = High-order let r(x) = reply f(f(x)) in reply r val twice: $\langle \langle \alpha \rangle \rightarrow \langle \alpha \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \langle \langle \alpha \rangle \rightarrow \langle \alpha \rangle \rangle$

Concurrency	Locks		
<pre>spawn echo (1) echo (2) Non determinism let fruit (f) cake (c) = Synchronization</pre>	<pre># let new_lock () = let free() lock() = reply to lock and unlock() = free() reply to unlock in free() reply lock, unlock</pre>		
<pre>{print_string(f ^ "_" ^ c ^ "\n");} al fruit: <pre>{string> al cake: <pre>{string></pre></pre></pre>	$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{val new.lock:} \langle \ \rangle \rightarrow \langle \langle \ \rangle \rightarrow \langle \ \rangle \rightarrow \langle \ \rangle \rangle \\ \texttt{# spawn lock();; unlock();} \end{array}$		
<pre>spawn fruit ("apple") fruit ("blueberry") cake ("pie") cake ("crumble")</pre>	Barriers		
pple pie lueberry crumble or lueberry pie pple crumble or	<pre># let join1 () join2 () = reply to join1</pre>		
9	11		
Local definitions	Full-duplex channels		
<pre>let count(n) inc() = count(n+1) reply to inc and count(n) get() = count(n) reply n to get al count: $\langle int \rangle$ al inc: $\langle \rangle \rightarrow \langle \rangle$ al get: $\langle \rangle \rightarrow \langle int \rangle$</pre>	# let new_channel () = Asynchronous ch. let send(x) receive() = reply x to receive in reply send, receive val new_channel: $\langle \rangle \rightarrow \langle \langle \alpha \rangle \times \langle \rangle \rightarrow \langle \alpha \rangle \rangle$		
<pre>let new_counter () = Scope extrusion let count(n) inc() = count(n+1) reply to inc</pre>	<pre># let new_schannel () = Synchronous ch. let send(x) receive() = reply x to receive</pre>		

 $\begin{array}{l} | et \ count(n) \ | \ inc() \ = \ count(n+1) \ | \ reply \ to \ inc \ and \ count(n) \ | \ get() \ = \ count(n) \ | \ reply \ n \ to \ get \ in \ count(0) \ | \ reply \ inc,get \ al \ new_counter: \ \langle \ \rangle \rightarrow \langle \langle \ \rangle \rightarrow \langle \ \rangle \ast \langle \ \rangle \rightarrow \langle \langle int \rangle \rangle \rangle \end{array}$

		Distribution and mobility (2/2)
Distribution		# let new_cell_mlog (a) = Cell server
<pre>let new_cell_d () = Cell server let get() some(x) = none() reply x to get and put(x) none() = some(x) reply to put in none() reply get, put</pre>		<pre># let new_cell_ming (a) = Cell server let log (s) = print_string ("cell" ^ s ^ "\n"); reply to log in loc applet with get() some(x) = log ("is empty");</pre>
<pre>do ns.register ("cell_d", new_cell_d)</pre>		<pre>init go(a); none()</pre>
		end in
	_	reply get, put
<pre>et new_cell_d = ns.lookup ("cell_d") ;; Cell client</pre>		<pre># do ns.register ("cell", new_cell)</pre>
<pre>et read, write = new_cell_d() do (write ("world");</pre>		<pre># let new_cell_mlog = ns.lookup ("cell") ;; Cell client</pre>
<pre>write (world), write ("hello," ^ read());</pre>		# loc user
print_string (read());		init
print_newline()		<pre>let read, write = new_cell_mlog(user) in {</pre>
);;		<pre>write ("world"); </pre>
necking types in name service ? \leftrightarrow typed marshalling ?		<pre>write ("hello," ^ read()); print_string (read());</pre>
		}
		end
		log keeps on server side.
	13	

Distribution and mobility (1/2)

let new_cell_m (a) = Cell server loc applet with get() | some(x) = none() | reply x to get and put(x) | none() = some(x) | reply to put in init go(a); none() end in reply get, put

do ns.register ("cell_m", new_cell_m)

let new_cell_m = ns.lookup ("cell") Cell client

```
loc user
```

```
init
let read, write = new_cell_m(user) in {
    write ("world");
    write ("hello," ^ read());
    print_string (read());
    print_newline();
}
```

```
end
```

, applet, user are locations. Subjective moves.

The join-calculus P, Q ::=processes $x \langle \tilde{v} \rangle$ sending \tilde{v} on \boldsymbol{x} (rec) definition of D in P ${\tt def}\,D\,{\tt in}\,P$ $P \mid Q$ parallel composition 0 empty process D, E ::=definitions $J \triangleright P$ elementary clause simultaneous definitions $D \wedge E$ Т empty definition J, J'::= join-patterns receiving \tilde{v} on \boldsymbol{x} $x\langle \tilde{v} \rangle$ $J \mid J'$ composed patterns

 \boldsymbol{x} , v_1 , v_2 , ... defined and receiving variables

Defined variables are bound in def D in PReceiving variables are bound in $J \triangleright P$

Free and bound variables	Structural equivalence and calculus (2/2)
ed varfree var)= \emptyset $\mathbf{fv}(0)$ = \emptyset $\mathbf{Processes}$ $\wedge D'$)= $\mathbf{dv}(D) \cup \mathbf{dv}(D')$ $\mathbf{fv}(P P')$ = $\mathbf{fv}(P) \cup \mathbf{fv}(P')$ $\geq P$)= $\mathbf{dv}(J)$ $\mathbf{fv}(x\langle v \rangle)$ = $\{x\} \cup \{u \in \tilde{v}\}$ J')= $\mathbf{dv}(J) \cup \mathbf{dv}(J')$ $\mathbf{fv}(\det D \ n P)$ = $(\mathbf{fv}(P) \cup \mathbf{fv}(D)) - \mathbf{dv}(D)$ \tilde{v})= $\{x\}$ $\mathbf{fv}(a[D:P])$ = $\{a\} \cup \mathbf{fv}(D) \cup \mathbf{fv}(P)$ $D:P]$)= $\{a\} \uplus \mathbf{dv}(D)$ $\mathbf{fv}(go\langle a, \kappa\rangle)$ = $\{a, \kappa\}$ ving var \mathbf{fv}' = \emptyset \mathbf{Defs} \tilde{v})= $\{u \in \tilde{v}\}$ $\mathbf{fv}(D \wedge D')$ = $\mathbf{fv}(D) \cup \mathbf{fv}(D')$ $\tilde{v}(J \triangleright P)$ = $\mathbf{dv}(J) \cup (\mathbf{fv}(P) - \mathbf{rv}(J))$	$Mononoty$ $P = {}_{\alpha} Q \implies P \equiv Q$ $P \equiv Q \implies P \mid R \equiv Q \mid R$ $P \equiv Q \implies J \triangleright P \equiv J \triangleright Q$ $D \equiv D', P \equiv Q \implies \text{def } D \text{ in } P \equiv \text{def } D' \text{ in } Q$ $Reduction \text{ rules}$ $def D \land J \triangleright P \text{ in } J\sigma \mid Q \implies def D \land J \triangleright P \text{ in } P\sigma \mid Q$ $P \equiv R \rightarrow S \equiv Q \implies P \rightarrow Q$
¹⁷ Structural equivalence and calculus (1/2)	¹⁹ Join-Calculus wrt other calculi (1/2)
binoidal rules $P \mid Q \equiv Q \mid P$ $(P \mid Q) \mid R \equiv P \mid (Q \mid R)$ $P \mid 0 \equiv P$ $D \land D' \equiv D' \land D$ $(D \land D') \land D'' \equiv D \land (D' \land D'')$ $D \land \mathbf{T} \equiv D$ binding rules $P \mid \det D \text{ in } Q \equiv \det D \text{ in } P \mid Q \qquad \text{fv}(P) \cap \det(D) = \emptyset$ $E D \text{ in } \det D' \text{ in } P \equiv \det D \land D' \text{ in } P \qquad \text{similar}$ $\det \mathbf{T} \text{ in } P \equiv P$	 wrt the π-calculus [Milner, Parrow, Walker] one-way channels fixed static set of receptors per channel permanent definitions JC is a subset of the π-calculus easily implementable in a standard distributed environment (Unix/WinXXX). No need for distributed-consensus protocols (Isis-like). Simple failures. Channel and receptors fail at same time (permanent failure model)

Join-Calculus wrt other calculi (2/2)Join-Calculus with migrations rt Ambients [Cardelli, Gordon] $P,Q ::== \ldots \mid go\langle a,\kappa \rangle$ lexically scoped current location becomes a sublocation of a, then send a trigger on channel κ communication and migration are orthogonal • JC = communication, Ambients = administration • Ambients good for security **Remarks: hierarchy** - a location moves with its sublocations $\pi 1$ -calculus [Amadio] - if a goes to b, then b must not be a sublocation of a. Syntactic check at compile time (move lock freeness). • pi-one relies on a condition on types • JC based on its syntax • quasi identical 21 23 Join-Calculus with locations Join-Calculus and Failures $D, E ::= \ldots \mid a[D:P]$ permanent failures a location fails with its sublocations a location emission or moves from dead sites are impossible tion: scopes and linearity sending to or moves to dead sites are possible the scope of a in a[D:P] delimited by the enclosing def statement · failure detection impossible in an asynchronous world [Fisher, Lynch, Paterson], [Chandra, Toueg] a location only defined once, e.g. the following definition is illegal • a trace-semantics equivalent implementation is feasible $def a[D:P] \land a[E:Q] \triangleright R in S$ • positive information about failures in practice. a defined name appears in the join-patterns of a unique location, • only suicides presently implemented (next version with e.g. the following definition is illegal asynchronous failures ?) $\operatorname{def} a[\mathbf{x}\langle u\rangle \triangleright P:Q] \wedge b[\mathbf{x}\langle v\rangle \triangleright R:S] \text{ in } T$ • failures of channels \neq failures of sites Failures are a big and large problem ↔ Distributed algorithms? \leftrightarrow distributed operating systems ?

Failures should be part of semantics of languages.

Jocaml (1/3)	Jocaml (3/3)
nterface with the outside world	let ww = 6 and hh = 6 and let w = size_x () / ww and h = size_y () / hh
	<pre>let def s!(n,m) next!(name,job,kill) =</pre>
t agent = ref 0 ;;	let $w = \min w$ (sx-n) and $h = \min h$ (sy-m) in
	<pre>print_name (n,m,w,h,name,black) ;</pre>
t def register_me (loc, name, (args:string list)) =	<pre>let def finished r mutex! () =</pre>
reply ()	<pre>draw_square (name,n,m,w,h,r); job_done ();</pre>
<pre>let name = incr agent; Printf.sprintf</pre>	next(name,job,kill) reply
"%s %d" (match args with [name] -> name > "Agent") !agent in	or restart () mutex! () = $s(n,m)$ reply
let name =	in
match args with	mutex ()
s :: 1 → s	loc boss dO {
[] -> name in	{ Join.fail job; restart (); Join.halt (); }
<pre>let name = if String.length(name) > 8 then String.sub name 0 8</pre>	{ Thread.delay 15.0; restart (); Join.halt (); }
else name in	<pre>let r = job (n/pixel,m/pixel,w/pixel,h/pixel) in</pre>
<pre>let job, kill = make_comp (loc) in</pre>	<pre>print_string "job done"; print_newline ();</pre>
<pre>next (name, job, kill) ;;</pre>	finished r;
	Join.halt ();
t _ =	}
Ns.register !ns_name register_me (vartype:	<pre>or killAll! () next! (name,job,kill) = killAll() kill()</pre>
(Join.location * string * string list -> unit) metatype);	and counter! n job_done () =
Join.server () ;;	{ if $ww*hh = n+1$ then killAll () else counter (n+1) } reply ()
;	Then go!

Jocaml (2/3)

```
et _ =
spawn { counter 0 };
for i = ww - 1 downto 0 do
 for j = hh - 1 downto 0 do
   spawn { s(i*w,j*w) }
 done
done ;;
t def make_comp (there) =
let loc mandel [Quad;Calc]
def square (i0,j0,w,h) =
 let r = Quad.empty w h limit in
 for i = 0 to w - 1 do
   for j = 0 to h - 1 do
     . . .
     Quad.set r i j m
   done
  done;
 reply r to square
and kill! () = Join.kill Join.here;
do { Join.go there } in
reply (square, kill)
```

Join Research (1/2)

- semantics of equivalence [Fournet, Gonthier]
- labeled transition systems (open JC) [Boreale, Fournet, Laneve]
- semantics of security [Abadi, Fournet, Gonthier]
- types and interference [Conchon, Pottier]
- dynamic ressources [Schmitt]
- implementation JC 1.05 [Fournet, Maranget]
- implementation Jocaml [Fournet, le Fessant, Schmitt]
- compiling join patterns [le Fessant, Maranget]
- distributed runtime (GC) [Fournet, le Fessant]
- control of communication and migration, the M-calculus [Schmitt, Stefani]
- coding of pi-calculus and Ambients [Fournet, Lévy, Schmitt]
- distributed objects [Fournet, Laneve, Maranget, Qin, Rémy]

Join Research (2/2)

- functional nets [Odersky]
- typed marshalling [Leifer, Peskine, Sewell, Wansbrough]
- Petri nets and JC [Bruni, Montanari, Sassone]
- Distributed patterns [Bruni, Montanari]
- Symmetric run-times (P2P) To be done! ... ML-Donkey [le Fessant]

ee http://join.inria.fr

29

Conclusion and Future work

- usefulness of mobility
 Missing the Global Computing Fibonacci
 - worldwide computing
 - customization of groupware applications
 - extendible systems, hot restart
 - distributed games
- in Jocaml: games, mobile editor, hevea
- reconsidering compilation problems
- locality and interference analysis
- connection with security
- correct handling of failures
- mastering Jocaml releases