#### MPRI Concurrency (course number 2-3) 2004-2005: $\pi$ -calculus 16 November 2004

http://pauillac.inria.fr/~leifer/teaching/mpri-concurrency-2004/

James J. Leifer INRIA Rocquencourt

#### James.Leifer@inria.fr

16 November 2004

## **About the lectures**

- The MPRI represents a transition from student to researcher. So...
- Interrupting me with questions is good.
- Working through a problem without already knowing the answer is good.
- I'll make mistakes. 8-)

## About me

- 1995–2001: Ph.D. student of Robin Milner's in Cambridge, UK
- 2001–2002: Postdoc in INRIA Rocquencourt, France
- 2002-: Research scientist in INRIA Rocquencourt, France
- November 2004: voted against W (who, despite this, was elected for the first time)

16 November 2004

#### **Books**

- Robin Milner. *Communicating and mobile systems: the π-calculus*. (Cambridge University Press, 1999).
- Robin Milner. Communication and concurrency. (Prentice Hall, 1989).
- Davide Sangiorgi and David Walker. *The π-calculus: a theory of mobile processes.* (Cambridge University Press, 2001).

## **Tutorials available online**

- Robin Milner. "The polyadic pi-calculus: a tutorial". Technical Report ECS-LFCS-91-180, University of Edinburgh. http://www.lfcs.inf.ed.ac.uk/reports/91/ECS-LFCS-91-180/ECS-LFCS-91-180.ps
- Joachim Parrow. "An introduction to the pi-calculus". http://user.it.uu.se/~joachim/intro.ps
- Peter Sewell. "Applied pi a brief tutorial". Technical Report 498, University of Cambridge. http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/users/pes20/apppi.ps

## Today's plan

- syntax
- reduction semantics and structural congruence
- labelled transitions
- bisimulation



## Reduction ( $\longrightarrow$ )

We say that P reduces to P', written  $P \longrightarrow P'$ , if this can be derived from the following rules:

$$\overline{xy}.P \mid x(u).Q \longrightarrow P \mid \{y/u\}Q \qquad (\text{red-comm})$$

$$\frac{P \longrightarrow P'}{P \mid Q \longrightarrow P' \mid Q} \qquad (\text{red-par})$$

$$\frac{P \longrightarrow P'}{\nu x.P \longrightarrow \nu x.P'} \qquad (\text{red-new})$$

Example:  $\boldsymbol{\nu} x.(\overline{x}y \mid x(u).\overline{u}z) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\nu} x.(\mathbf{0} \mid \overline{y}z)$ 

As currently defined, reduction is too limited:

$$(\overline{x}y \mid \mathbf{0}) \mid x(u) \not \longrightarrow$$
$$\boldsymbol{\nu}w.\overline{x}y \mid x(u) \not \longrightarrow$$

The free names of P are written fn(P). *Example:*  $fn(\mathbf{0}) = \emptyset$ ;  $fn(\overline{x}y.z(y).\mathbf{0}) = \{x, y, z\}$ . *Exercise:* Calculate  $fn(z(y).\overline{x}y.\mathbf{0})$ ;  $fn(\nu z.(z(y).\overline{x}y) | \overline{y}z)$ . Formally:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{fn}(\overline{x}y.P) &= \{x,y\} \cup \mathsf{fn}(P) \\ \mathsf{fn}(x(y).P) &= \{x\} \cup (\mathsf{fn}(P) \setminus \{y\}) \\ \mathsf{fn}(\boldsymbol{\nu}x.P) &= \mathsf{fn}(P) \setminus \{x\} \\ \mathsf{fn}(P \mid P') &= \mathsf{fn}(P) \cup \mathsf{fn}(P') \\ \mathsf{fn}(\mathbf{0}) &= \varnothing \\ \mathsf{fn}(!P) &= \mathsf{fn}(P) \end{array}$ 

#### **Alpha-conversion**

We consider processes up to alpha-conversion: provided  $y'\not\in {\rm fn}(P){\rm ,}$  we have

$$x(y).P = x(y').\{y'/y\}P$$
$$\nu y.P = \nu y'.\{y'/y\}P$$

*Exercise:* Freshen all bound names:  $\nu x.(x(x).\overline{x}x) \mid x(x)$ 

16 November 2004

## Structural congruence ( $\equiv$ )

$$P \mid (Q \mid S) \equiv (P \mid Q) \mid S \qquad (\text{str-assoc})$$

$$P \mid Q \equiv Q \mid P \qquad (\text{str-commut})$$

$$P \mid \mathbf{0} \equiv P \qquad (\text{str-id})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}x.\boldsymbol{\nu}y.P \equiv \boldsymbol{\nu}y.\boldsymbol{\nu}x.P \qquad (\text{str-swap})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}x.\mathbf{0} \equiv \mathbf{0} \qquad (\text{str-zero})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}x.P \mid Q \equiv \boldsymbol{\nu}x.(P \mid Q) \qquad \text{if } x \notin \text{fn}(Q) \qquad (\text{str-ex})$$

$$!P \equiv P \mid !P \qquad (\text{str-repl})$$

We close reduction by structural congruence:

*Exercise:* Calculate the reductions of  $\nu y.(\overline{x}y \mid y(u).\overline{u}z) \mid x(w).\overline{w}v$  and  $\overline{x}y \mid \nu y.(x(u).\overline{u}w \mid y(v))$ 

16 November 2004

 $\frac{P \equiv \longrightarrow \equiv P'}{P \longrightarrow P'}$ 

7

# Application of new binding: from polyadic to monadic channels

Let us extend our notion of *monadic* channels, which carry exactly one name, to *polyadic* channels, which carry a vector of names, i.e.

$$P ::= \overline{x} \langle y_1, ..., y_n \rangle . P \qquad \text{output} \\ x(y_1, ..., y_n) . P \qquad \text{input } (y_1, ..., y_n \text{ bind in } P)$$

Is there an encoding from polyadic to monadic channels? We might try:

$$\begin{split} \llbracket \overline{x} \langle y_1, ..., y_n \rangle . P \rrbracket &= \overline{x} y_1 .... \overline{x} y_n . \llbracket P \rrbracket \\ \llbracket x(y_1, ..., y_n) . P \rrbracket &= x(y_1) .... x(y_n) . \llbracket P \rrbracket \end{split}$$

but this is broken! Can you see why? The right approach is use new binding:

$$\begin{split} \llbracket \overline{x} \langle y_1, ..., y_n \rangle .P \rrbracket &= \mathbf{\nu} z. (\overline{x} z. \overline{z} y_1 .... \overline{z} y_n. \llbracket P \rrbracket) \\ \llbracket x(y_1, ..., y_n) .P \rrbracket &= x(z). z(y_1) .... z(y_n). \llbracket P \rrbracket \end{split}$$

where  $z \notin {\rm fn}(P)$  in both cases. (We also need some well-sorted assumptions.)

16 November 2004

# Application of new binding: from synchronous to asynchronous ouput

In distributed computing, sending and receiving messages may be asymmetric: we clearly know when we have received a message but not necessarily when a message we sent has been delivered. (Think of email.)

$$\begin{array}{ll} P ::= \overline{x}y & \text{output} \\ x(y).P & \text{input } (y \text{ binds in } P \end{array}$$

Nonetheless, one can always achieve synchronous sends by using an *acknowledgement* protocol:

$$\begin{split} \llbracket \overline{x}y.P \rrbracket &= \boldsymbol{\nu}z.(\overline{x}\langle y, z \rangle \mid z().\llbracket P \rrbracket) \\ \llbracket x(y).P \rrbracket &= x(y,z).(\overline{z}\langle \rangle \mid \llbracket P \rrbracket) \end{split}$$

provided  $z \notin fn(P)$  in both cases.

16 November 2004

#### Labels

The labels  $\alpha$  are of the form:

$\alpha ::= \overline{x}y$	output
$\overline{x}(y)$	bound output
xy	input
au	silent

The names  $n(\alpha)$  and bound names  $bn(\alpha)$  are defined as follows:

		$\overline{x}y$	(0)	0	
r	$\mathbf{n}(\alpha)$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	Ø
br	$\mathbf{n}(\alpha)$	Ø	y	Ø	Ø

# Labelled transitions ( $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ )

Labelled transitions are of the form  $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$  and are generated by:

$$\begin{split} \overline{x}y.P \xrightarrow{\overline{x}y} P \quad \text{(lab-out)} \qquad & x(y).P \xrightarrow{xz} \{z/y\}P \quad \text{(lab-in)} \\ & \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \mid Q} \text{if } bn(\alpha) \cap fn(Q) = \varnothing \quad \text{(lab-par-l)} \\ & \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{\nu y.P \xrightarrow{\alpha} \nu y.P'} \text{if } y \notin n(\alpha) \quad \text{(lab-new)} \qquad & \frac{P \xrightarrow{\overline{x}y} P'}{\nu y.P \xrightarrow{\overline{x}(y)} P} \text{if } y \neq x \quad \text{(lab-open)} \\ & \frac{P \xrightarrow{\overline{x}y} P'}{P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P' \mid Q'} \quad \text{(lab-comm-l)} \qquad & \frac{P \xrightarrow{\overline{x}(y)} P'}{P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\tau} \nu y.(P' \mid Q')} \text{if } y \notin fn(Q) \quad \text{(lab-close-l)} \\ & \frac{P \mid !P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{!P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'} \quad \text{(lab-bang)} \end{split}$$

plus symmetric rules (lab-par-r), (lab-comm-r), (lab-close-r).

16 November 2004

16 November 2004

## Labelled transitions and structural congruence

Theorem:

1.  $P \longrightarrow P'$  iff  $P \xrightarrow{\tau} \equiv P'$ . 2.  $P \equiv \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$  implies  $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} \equiv P'$ 

Exercise: Why does the converse of the second not hold?

*Exercise:* Show that the following pair of processes are both in  $(\longrightarrow)$  and  $(\xrightarrow{\tau} \equiv)$ :

```
\boldsymbol{\nu} z. \overline{x} z \mid x(u). \overline{y} u \qquad \boldsymbol{\nu} z. \overline{y} z
```

## Fun with side conditions

**Bisimulation proofs** 

Some easy results:

**1**.  $P \mid 0 \sim P$ 

More difficult:

**2**.  $!P | !P \sim !P$ 

Theorem:  $P \equiv Q$  implies  $P \sim Q$ .

2.  $\overline{x}y.\nu z.P \sim \nu z.\overline{x}y.P$ , if  $z \notin \{x, y\}$ 

4.  $!\boldsymbol{\nu} z. P \not\sim \boldsymbol{\nu} z. !P$  for some P

**3.**  $P \sim Q$  implies  $P \mid S \sim Q \mid S$ 

1.  $\boldsymbol{\nu} x.P \mid Q \sim \boldsymbol{\nu} x.(P \mid Q)$ 

3. x(y). $\nu z$ . $P \sim \nu z$ .x(y).P, if  $z \notin \{x, y\}$ 

Can you think of a counterexample to the converse?

*Exercise:* Show that the side condition on (lab-par-l) is necessary by considering the process  $\nu y.(\overline{x}y.y(u)) \mid \overline{z}v$  and an alpha variant.

16 November 2004

# **Strong bisimulation**

12

14

A relation  $\mathcal{R}$  is a strong bisimulation if for all  $(P,Q) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ , where  $bn(\alpha) \cap fn(Q) = \emptyset$ , there exists Q' such that  $Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'$  and  $(P',Q') \in \mathcal{R}$ , and symmetrically.

Strong bisimilarity  $\sim$  is the largest strong bisimulation.

16 November 2004

Adding sun	18	
	$P ::= M$ $P \mid P$ $!P$	sum parallel (par) replication (bang)
	$M ::= \overline{x}y.P$ $x(y).P$ $M + M$ $0$	output input ( <i>y</i> binds in <i>P</i> ) sum
Change structuidentity 0.	ural congruence to tr	eat $+$ as associative and commutive with

Change labelled transition:  $M + \overline{x}y.P + N \xrightarrow{\overline{x}y} P$  $\underline{M} + x(y).P + N \xrightarrow{xz} \{z/y\}P$ 

16 November 2004

16 November 2004

13